

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

SCOT ALAN REUTHER,

2:11-CV-01449-PK

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

DR. MARVIN D. FICKLE, et al.,

Defendants.

BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Paul Papak issued Findings and Recommendation (#187) on June 10, 2014, in which he recommends the Court grant Defendants' Motion (#159) for Summary Judgment and dismiss this matter with prejudice. Plaintiff filed timely Objections to the Findings and Recommendation. The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). See also *Dawson v. Marshall*, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2009); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003)(*en banc*).

This Court has carefully considered Plaintiff's Objections and concludes they do not provide a basis to modify the Findings and Recommendation. The Court also has reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and does not find any error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings and Recommendation (#187) and, therefore, **GRANTS** Defendants' Motion (#159) for Summary Judgment and **DISMISSES** this matter with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2014.

/s/ Anna J. Brown

---

ANNA J. BROWN  
United States District Judge